

APPENDIX C. NOTEBOOK SCORING CRITERIA AND SCALED RUBRIC

SEATTLE ELEMENTARY SCIENCE NOTEBOOKS STUDY RATINGS FORM – MAY 20, 2003

Reader Name: _____

Ratings (1 – 4 for each area)¹:

Notebook #: _____

I. Conceptual Understanding: _____

[Reader # 1 2]

II. Scientific Thinking: _____

III. Expository Writing: _____

SCALED SCORING GUIDE

I. CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING

1 <i>LIMITED</i>	2 <i>DEVELOPING</i>	3 <i>ADEQUATE</i>	4 <i>FULL</i>
<p><u>Understanding of “big ideas” of unit</u></p> <p>Evidence of very limited understanding, e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -sentence frames usually incomplete, or filled in non-sensically or inaccurately -questions not addressed 	<p><u>Understanding of “big ideas” of unit</u></p> <p>Evidence of partial but still incomplete understanding of major concepts.</p> <p>May be partly accurate and partly erroneous.</p>	<p><u>Understanding of “big ideas” of unit</u></p> <p>Evidence of “pretty close” understanding of key concepts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -may be some detail that is missing but still fairly solid understanding of central concepts -may be minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies -if there is a model or analogy, it may be somewhat incomplete or awkward. -may be some attempt at application of knowledge to new problem 	<p><u>Understanding of “big ideas” of unit</u></p> <p>Together, words and graphics/drawings demonstrate accurate and quite full grasp of the major concepts that were introduced.</p> <p>May include one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -appropriate/accurate application of previous learning to new concepts and skills -extension of the new concept or skill to new problems or new phenomena

Reader Notes on Conceptual Understanding:

Quotes, pages of particular interest or value to you in making your ratings, questions

¹ “0” Score: reserved for non-scorable notebooks where there is virtually no response: charts are empty, sentence starters are blank, questions are copied but there is no response.

II. SCIENTIFIC THINKING

1 <i>LIMITED</i>	2 <i>DEVELOPING</i>	3 <i>ADEQUATE</i>	4 <i>FULL</i>
<p><u>Use of inquiry skills, processes</u></p> <p>Random, disconnected “bits” of activity with no apparent purpose</p>	<p><u>Use of inquiry skills, processes</u></p> <p>Evidence of use of some skills, but often lacking thoroughness or sense of purpose, e.g. -partial records -little if any questioning</p>	<p><u>Use of inquiry skills, processes</u></p> <p>Most skills used accurately for the most part.</p> <p>Can be minor inconsistencies or occasional lack of thoroughness</p>	<p><u>Use of inquiry skills, processes</u></p> <p>Thorough and purposeful use of skills to advance learning – e.g. -accurate and full observations, with complete records -questioning stance related to phenomena, evidence, problems -designing investigations to test questions</p>
<p><u>Using evidence to draw inferences, support explanations</u></p> <p>Virtually no connection between explanation and evidence</p>	<p><u>Using evidence to draw inferences, support explanations</u></p> <p>Can be some inference-making but with limited reasonableness/accuracy or use of evidence.</p>	<p><u>Using evidence to draw inferences, support explanations</u></p> <p>Makes inferences that are reasonable but may be partial, incomplete, inconsistent in a minor way.</p>	<p><u>Using evidence to draw inferences, support explanations</u></p> <p>Demonstrates understanding of relationship between data and inference: -draws reasonable inferences from data -uses appropriate data fully to support explanations</p>
<p><u>Demonstrating discipline perspective, “habits of mind”</u></p> <p>No evidence of awareness: -inaccurate reporting -random questioning</p>	<p><u>Demonstrating discipline perspective, “habits of mind”</u></p> <p>Very limited awareness, lacking explicit understanding</p>	<p><u>Demonstrating discipline perspective, “habits of mind”</u></p> <p>Some degree of awareness, e.g. -Honest reporting -Some explicit awareness of fair test and notion of variables.</p>	<p><u>Demonstrating discipline perspective, “habits of mind”</u></p> <p>Clear understanding of, e.g.: -honest and accurate reporting -fair test -nature of variables and their relationship to investigation and inference</p>

Reader Notes on Scientific Thinking:

Quotes, pages of particular interest or value to you in making your ratings, questions

III. EXPOSITORY WRITING

1 LIMITED	2 DEVELOPING	3 ADEQUATE	4 FULL
<p><u>Idea/content (Development)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -unclear statement of idea or information -absent or irrelevant detail, disconnected material <p><u>Organization/Sequence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -random order -absent or mis-used transition words <p><u>Word choice</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Missing key vocabulary, or inappropriate use 	<p><u>Idea/content (Development)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Statement of idea or information discernable but may be incomplete -Minimal relevant detail <p><u>Organization/Sequence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -there is some apparent logic but inconsistent, mixed. -simplistic or partially inaccurate transition words <p><u>Word choice</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Mix of accurate and inaccurate use of key terms 	<p><u>Idea/content (Development)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -States idea or information clearly -Includes some relevant detail -May be minor inconsistencies or lack of fullness <p><u>Organization/Sequence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Mostly logical sequence -May be some perfunctory, repetitive use of transition words, but basically sensible <p><u>Word choice</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Scientific vocab usually accurate, with minor inconsistencies -Vocab accurate but may be somewhat simplistic 	<p><u>Idea/content (Development)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has control of content: -states information or idea clearly -develops fully with relevant evidence, explanation, details <p><u>Organization/Sequence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Details are sequenced logically -Appropriate transition words are used to show logical connections <p><u>Word choice</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Scientific vocab used accurately. -Non-scientific vocab used effectively to clarify, explain
<p>*****</p> <p><u>Voice/authority</u></p> <p><u>Sentence structure & variety</u></p>	<p>*****</p> <p><u>Voice/authority</u></p> <p><u>Sentence structure & variety</u></p>	<p>*****</p> <p><u>Voice/authority</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -demonstrates some engagement <p><u>Sentence structure & variety</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -varies sentence structure enough to show causation, comparison 	<p>*****</p> <p><u>Voice/authority</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Engaged voice, confidence with scientific stance. -May include self-reflection <p><u>Sentence structure & variety</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Command of sentence style: -can use multiple types of clauses and structures to clarify and develop ideas

Reader Notes on Expository Writing:

Quotes, pages of particular interest or value to you in making your ratings, questions